

REMARKS

Claims 1-34, 36-45, and 47-62 are pending in this application. Claims 1-4, 6, 7, 9-13, 17-20, 25, 26, 29, 33, 36-43, 45, 47, 48, 50-53, and 56-60 are amended. No new claims are added. Claims 35 and 46 are cancelled. No new matter is added. In view of the foregoing amendments and following remarks, reconsideration and allowance of all claims pending in this application are respectfully requested.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1-34, 36, 37, 40-45, 47, 50-54 and 56-62 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as allegedly being anticipated by Wagner et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,169,911 ("Wagner"). Applicants traverse these rejections on the following basis.

Claim 1 recites the features of presenting a plurality of different forms, enabling selection, on a wireless client device, of at least one form for displaying transmissible media content, and providing a form application associated with the selected form to format the transmissible media content, among other things. Claim 9 recites the features of a display that displays a plurality of forms, an input interface on a wireless client device that enables selection of at least one form for displaying transmissible media content on the wireless client device, and a processor unit that provides a form application associated with the selected form to format the transmissible media content, among other things. Claim 17 recites the features of a display means for displaying a plurality of forms, an input interface means for enabling selection of at least one form for displaying transmissible media content on a wireless client device, and processor means for providing a form application associated with the selected form to format the transmissible media content, among other things. Claim 25 recites the feature of presenting code that presents a plurality of forms, selecting code that enables selection, on a wireless client device, of at least one form for displaying transmissible media content on the wireless client device, and providing code that provides a form application to format the transmissible media content, among other things. Claim 53 recites the feature of a display module that displays a plurality of forms, at least one forms module that enables selection, on a wireless client device, of a form for

displaying transmissible media content, and provides a form application associated with the selected form to format the transmissible media, among other things.

In an exemplary embodiment, the claimed invention may enable data sent to and from a wireless client device to be converted into a format that may be understandable to a mobile device and viewable on a mobile device (see the Specification at page 1, lines 5 and 6). In some embodiments, the wireless client device may utilize one or more wireless access applications that may be scaled down versions of full-size applications that may run on, for example, a desktop cpu, a server, a laptop cpu, or other processing unit (see the Specification at page 11, lines 19-22; and page 23, lines 20-24). A wireless access application may include one or more forms that correlate to an existing form within a corresponding full-size application (see the Specification at page 23, line 24 – page 24, line 4). The various forms within the wireless access application may enable different functionalities with respect to user manipulation of transmissible media content, such as, an ability to create a document, an ability to edit a document, or an ability to read a document (see the Specification at page 24, lines 13-19). The various forms may further include varying support for different data formats, such as, as rich text, embedded objects, or other data formats (see the Specification at page 24, line 23 – page 25, line 3). The user may select a form within the wireless application based on a user preference, a capability of the wireless client device, a transmission rate, or other factors. Once the user selects a form within a wireless application, transmissible media content accessed using that wireless application is formatted in accordance with the selected form (see the Specification at page 24, lines 6 and 7; and page 26, lines 7-15).

In contrast, Wagner appears to disclose a graphical user interface for a portable telephone that enables a user to access both electronic mail and voice mail messages (see Wagner at the Abstract). However, Wagner does not teach or suggest presenting a plurality of different forms, enabling selection, on a wireless client device, of at least one form for displaying transmissible media content, and providing a form application associated with the selected form that formats the transmissible media content.

The Examiner alleges that Wagner discloses enabling selection of a form from a plurality of forms by the user (see the Office Action at page 5, lines 12-14). However, Wagner appears to only disclose the presentation of a plurality of menu options for taking action on a selected item. Presenting menu options, such as those described in Wagner, is different from presenting a plurality of forms. These menu options correspond merely to functions provided by the telephone, or documents that may be viewed on the telephone, such as, directly accessing speed dial mode, message mode, address book mode, news and information mode, or settings mode (see Wagner at col. 5, lines 52-67), and not to forms for displaying transmissible media content. Even if the Examiner's allegation is accepted, Wagner includes no reference to formatting information of any kind based on the user's selection. Thus, Wagner is deficient at least for failing to teach or suggest presenting a plurality of different forms, enabling selection, on a wireless client device, of at least one form for displaying transmissible media content, and providing a form application associated with the selected for that formats the transmissible media content.

In view of the foregoing differences between claims 1, 9, 17, 25, and 53 and the cited art, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1, 9, 17, 25, and 53 are believed to be allowable over this reference. Further, claims 2-8, 10-16, 18-24, 26-37, 40-47, 50-52, 54, and 56-62 are believed to be allowable at least by virtue of their dependency.

CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 38, 39, 48, 49, and 55 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Wagner in view of Fascenda U.S. Patent No. 6,560,604 B1 ("Fascenda"). Applicants traverse these rejections on the following basis.

The Examiner admits that Wagner is deficient at least for failing to teach selecting a type of receiving terminal from a plurality of different types of receiving terminals. The Examiner alleges that the selection of a type of receiving terminal from a plurality of different types of receiving terminals is disclosed by Fascenda. Fascenda appears to disclose a system, method, and apparatus for updating options and features available to a client device (see Fascenda at the Abstract). Fascenda fails to teach the

deficiencies of Wagner discussed above. Even if Examiner's allegation with respect to Fascenda is accepted, these claims depend from claims 1, 9, and 53, and therefore are distinguishable over the prior art.

Having addressed each of the foregoing rejections, it is respectfully submitted that a full and complete response has been made to the Office Action and, as such, the application is in condition for allowance. Notice to that effect is respectfully requested. If the Examiner believes, for any reason, that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the number provided.

Dated: August 17, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

Customer Number
29315



Sean L. Ingram
Registration No.: 48,283
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND
POPEO P.C.
12010 Sunset Hills Road, Suite 900
Reston, Virginia 20190
703-464-4806